

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/695,229 10/28/2003 | | Gary L. Sugar | Cognio 18US2 | 4971 | |
| 32604 | 7590 | 08/26/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| COGNIO, | COGNIO, INC. | | | PERSINO, RAYMOND B | |
| 101 ORCHA | ARD RIDO | GE DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 350 GAITHERSBURG MD 20878 | | | 2682 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/695,229 | SUGAR ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Raymond B. Persino | 2682 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| ,- | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/ar | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/16/04-5/18/04. | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the 8th line includes the text "...signal processor of applies..." The use of the work "of" is awkward and the examiner recommends deleting it.. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the 1st line includes the text "...eight..." The examiner believes this is a typo and that the applicant intended the word to read "weight". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first communication device" in the second to last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164

Art Unit: 2682

USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington,* 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-14, 16, 78 and 79 of U.S. Patent No. 6,687,492 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each. The subject matter of claims 1-17 of the application are contained in claims 10-14, 16, 78 and 79 of U.S. Patent No. 6,687,492 B1. However, the subject matter is arraigned such that the claims of the application contain less than the entirety of the claims of the patent for any given claim. The following table correlates the subject matter contained in the claims of the application to the subject matter's location in the patent:

| Application claim number | Location of subject matter in patent |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 78 (part a) |
| 2 | 78 (part b) |
| 3 | 79 |
| 4 | 14 |
| 5 | 11 |
| 6 | 12 |
| 7 | 13 |

Art Unit: 2682

| 8 | 78 (part a) |
|----|-------------|
| 9 | 78 (part b) |
| 10 | 78 (part b) |
| 11 | 10 (part a) |
| 12 | 10 (part b) |
| 13 | 16 |
| 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 11 |
| 16 | 12 |
| 17 | 13 |

The omission of an element and its function in combination is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same functions as before. *In re Karlson,* 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, the claims of the application are obvious over the claims of the patent. It is noted that independent claims 1, 8 and 11 of the application comprise the subject matter that was indicated allowable for claims 10 and 78 of the patent.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond B. Persino whose telephone number is (703) 308-7528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond B. Persino KY Examiner Art Unit 2682

RP

VMAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

8/23/04